UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/577,283 | 04/24/2006 | Ernst Gorenflo | RICHT- 48749 | 2835 |
| | 7590 07/20/200 XY & KELLEY, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 6320 CANOGA | | COLEMAN, KEITH A | | |
| SUITE 1650 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3747 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/577,283 | GORENFLO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | KEITH COLEMAN | 3747 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | - [.] action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | - | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| oloood in absordance with the places and of E. | x parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10 | 0.0.2.210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-6 and 10-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected. | · | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | | |
| o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | • , , | • • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Tr) The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form P10-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | | | | |
| • | | on No | | | | |
| | 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | • | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Space No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/2006 Space No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/2006 Other | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/24/2006</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/577,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 2-6 and 10-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 5/27/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sodemann et al. (US Patent No. 7,148,580).

With regards to claim 1, the patent to Sodemann et al. discloses all the limitations of the claimed subject matter including a work machine (90) comprising at least one combustion engine (100, See Col. 6, Lines 5-10) which in operation requires a supply voltage, and comprising a voltage generator (i.e. generator 90) which supplies a generator voltage that depends on the rotary speed of the combustion engine (100), which generator voltage is used to generate the supply voltage, characterized in that: the handheld work machine (90) is electrically connected to an additional voltage source (10) that prior to starting the combustion engine (100) provides the required supply voltage that at this point in time is not yet present, wherein in the handheld work machine the supply voltage required during operation of the combustion engine (100) is split into an ignition voltage and a control voltage, and the additional voltage source (10) only supplies the required control voltage prior to the start of the engine (100), except positively disclosing a 'handheld' work machine in the same embodiment.

Since Sodemann et al. explicitly states on Col. 5, Lines 50-55 that "Although the principles of the invention are illustrated as being applied to a portable generator, <u>other</u>

types of outdoor power equipment (lawn and garden equipment, snow moving

Application/Control Number: 10/577,283

Art Unit: 3747

equipment, pressure washers, etc.)" it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the portable generator of one embodiment with a handheld work machine in view of the teaching to Col. 5, Lines 50-60 regarding using the auxiliary voltage source (10) with garden tools, in order to provide sufficient voltage for starting purposes (Col. 1, Lines 10-15 from Sodemann et al.)

Page 4

With regards to claim 7, the patent to Sodemann et al. discloses that the additional voltage source (10) can be plugged to or in or into (via connector 92) the housing of the handheld work machine (i.e. portable generator 90).

With regards to claim 8, the patent to Sodemann et al. discloses that the additional voltage source (10) is arranged externally in relation to the housing of the handheld work machine (90) and is electrically connected to the work machine (90) by way of an electrical conductor and a plug-type connection (via connector 92).

With regards to claim 9, the patent to Sodemann et al. discloses that the additional voltage source (10) is electrically connected to further electrical or electronic circuits or further auxiliary devices of the handheld work machine (90), and supplies a voltage to these (via connector 92).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/577,283 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kranz (US Patent No. 7,420,352) shows the current state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEITH COLEMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3516. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571)272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KAC /K. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3747

/Stephen K. Cronin/

Application/Control Number: 10/577,283

Page 6

Art Unit: 3747

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747